

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,288		11/25/2003	Brian G. Lewis	CEDA 7000.5	9116	
321	7590	12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
	GER POW		CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B			
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IS, MO 63	102		2815		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Α	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			/722,288	LE	LEWIS ET AL.				
			aminer	A	rt Unit				
		Jas	mine J. Clark	28	315				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet v	with the corr	espondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .							
·—	•		on is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition fo			itters, prose	cution as to the	e merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12 and 14-45</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 14-19 is/are allowed.</li> </ul>								
	Claim(s) <u>20 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>21-31 and 36-45</u> is/are objected to.								
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
	-	Examiner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
<i>,</i> —	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
•	•	r foroian prio	rity under 35 II S.C.	8 110(a) (d	Nor(f)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen  1) Notic  2) Notic  3) Infon		D-948)	4) ☐ Interview Paper No	v Summary (PT o(s)/Mail Date.		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,288 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nye, III et al. (US 5,503,286).

Nye shows a structure in Fig. 3, a multilayer solder perform, comprising a first solder perform layer 90 having a top surface and a bottom surface and comprising a solder metal bonding component and an additive 100 selected from among, for example a thermal conductivity enhancement component; a second solder metal perform layer 80 comprising applied to the bottom surface of the first solder perform layer 90; and a third solder metal perform layer 120 applied to the top surface of the first solder perform layer 90.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2815

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jiang et al. (6,906,417 B2).

Jiang shows a structure in Fig. 1 which serves as a solder perform comprising a sphere body 40 comprising a sphere body solder metal 42 bonding component and an additive component(s) selected from among, for example 44 (44', 44" and 44"') which serve as thermal conductivity enhancement components; and a sphere body surface layer comprising a solder metal layer 46 over the sphere body 42.

Concerning claim 33, the solder perform of claim 32 wherein the sphere body solder metal bonding component 42 and the sphere body surface layer 46 are selected from, for example copper (Cu) (see column 6, lines 24-26, and column 7, line 31).

Concerning claims 34 and 35, the solder perform of claim 32 wherein the additive comprises a thermal conductivity enhancement component 44 selected from among, for example silver (Ag) (see column 7, line 13). Regarding the limitations of claim 35, please see claim 34. Note that the thermal conductivity enhancement component 44 is uncoated, and please see Fig. 1.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 21-31, and 36-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applied references fail to teach and/or suggest the limitations as set for in claims 21-31, and 36-45.

4. Claims 1-6, 8-12, and 14-19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the applied references fail to teach and/or suggest the limitations as set forth in claims 1, 12 and 18.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### References Cited

5. Similar to by Jiang et al. (6,906,417 B2) are Brofman et al. (US 6,283,359 B1), Palmteer (US 6,781,065 B1), Abbott et al. (US 6,337,445 B1), and Call et al. (6,300,164 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

## Telephone Inquiry Contacts

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J. Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/12/09/05

JASMINE CLARK PRIMARY EXAMINER

garmineple